

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/852,903	05/09/2001	Veronica Brockhurst	DIAT:003	4772
7	590 03/25/2003			
O'KEEFE, EGAN & PETERMAN, L.L.P.			EXAMINER	
Building C, Suite 200 1101 Capital of Texas Highway South			CHAKRABARTI, ARUN K	
Austin, TX 78746			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1634	

DATE MAILED: 03/25/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

# Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/852,903

Applicant(s)

Brockhurst

Examiner

Arun Chakrabarti

Art Unit **1634** 



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
Period 1	for Reply				
THE	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM  no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
mailing - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	date of this communication.	he statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. he application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 9, 20	001 ·			
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) X This act	tion is non-final.			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposi	tion of Claims				
		is/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)				
6) 🗌	Claim(s)				
7) 🗌	Claim(s)				
8) 💢		are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	ation Papers				
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	1) $\square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.				
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some* c) None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>					
* 5	3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Buresee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the action for a list	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
a)[	a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.				
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachn	nent(s)				
1) 🔲 N	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) X Other: Detailed Action					

Art Unit: 1634

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-22, drawn to method of nucleic acid hybridization, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
  - II. Claims 23-26, drawn to method of discriminating between nucleic acids, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
  - III. Claim 27, drawn to computer program-assisted method, classified in class 711, subclass 100.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions of Groups I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention of Group II has separate utility such as discriminating between nucleic acids. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 3. Inventions of Groups I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination of Group I as claimed does not require the particulars of the

Art Unit: 1634

ŧ

subcombination of computer program-assisted method of Group III as claimed because computer program-assisted method requires particulars of software and hardwares of computers . The subcombination has separate utility such as remote controlling or quality controlling a nucleic acid hybridization method.

- Inventions of Groups II and III are related as combination and subcombination. 4. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination of Group II as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination of computer program-assisted method of Group III as claimed because computer program-assisted method requires particulars of software and hardwares of computers . The subcombination has separate utility such as remote controlling or quality controlling a nucleic acid hybridization method.
- Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a 5. separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- A telephone call was made to Robert O'Keefe on March 3, 2003 to request an oral 6. election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made

Art Unit: 1634

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

### Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arun Chakrabarti, Ph. D., whose telephone number is (703) 306-5818. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 AM-4:30 PM from Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion, can be reached on (703) 308-1119. The fax phone number for this Group is (703)746-4979.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group analyst Chantae Dessau whose telephone number is (703) 605-1237.

Art Unit: 1634

Arun Chakrabarti,

Patent Examiner,

March 20, 2003

ARUNK. CHAKRABART